

Quality Assurance. From farm to shop.

feed monitoring authority.

the goods are handled.

Note: In addition to the obligation to report to QS, there are also obligations to report to the local

to QS, but internal measures must be taken within the company to determine and document how

■ If the EU guidance value has been exceeded for DON, ZEA or OTA, it is not mandatory to report





results into the database.

FACTS AND INFORMATION AROUND

provide evidence of participation in ring trials for the parameters recognised by QS. the test methods prescribed by QS and provide a list with parameters and their detection limits, as on the parameters prior to recognition. Furthermore, a laboratory must demonstrate that it masters with the standard EN ISO/IEC 17025 and must also be able to prove that participated in ring trials feed monitoring. For a laboratory to acquire recognition, it must have an accreditation in accordance Only laboratories with QS recognition may be commissioned with analysis within the scope of QS

COMPETENCE FOR SAMPLING

fundamental rule is that only qualified persons are allowed to draw samples. third parties. Usually the auditors draw the feed samples during independent inspections. A is organised by the coordinators. Samples in agricultural companies must always be drawn by goods are shipped. In this way, the supply chain mutually controls itself. Sampling in agriculture scheme, as every stage draws samples both when raw goods are received and when finished tical at first glance, however it provides security through the cross-stage approach of the QS companies can draw the required samples by themselves (except farmers). This may appear cri-Every company that produces or trades feed must participate in the Feed Monitoring. The feed







MONITORING-REPORT 2017



FIGURES & FACTS ON CONTAMINANTS IN FEED

3 million individual analyses were evaluated for the Monitoring Report 2017 - over 450,000 analyses more compared to the previous year. We have updated figures and facts about contaminants of feed for you. The comparison with the Monitoring Report 2016 shows that particularly in the case of **Deoxynivalenol** (DON) (+16 %) and **Salmonella** (+17 %), the number of exceedances or rather the amount of positive findings is increased.

In order to interpret the results correctly, the corresponding measured value ranges of each analysis' result are shown. They support you in relating the results to the limit values of every feed.

➤ Using this poster, you can compare the analysis results with your own feed.

Data basis: Analysis results of QS feed monitoring from January 2008 to July 2017

Zearalenone	Zearalenone (ZEA)				
Parameter	Number of analysis	Number of exceedances (EU guidance value)	Feed/ raw material		
ZEA	40,650 Of the 40,650 analysis, a value was detected in 14,920 (36.7 %)	27 in total			
	(30.17 7.5)	7	Piglet rearing feed		
		6	Maize (plants)		
		1	Triticale		
		3	Self-mixed pig fattening feed		
		2	Self-mixed cattle-fattening feed		
		4	Supplementary feed for fattening pigs		
		3	Complete feed for sows/fattening pigs		
		1	Distillery spent wash		
Analysis results	of 7FA in detail				

Aflatoxin B1				
Parameter	Number of analysis	Number of exceedances (max. level)		Feed/ raw material
Aflatoxin B1	34,509 Of the 34,509	9 in total		
	analysis, a value was present in 3,216	7		Maiz
	(9.3 %)	1		Maize gluten mea
		1	N	Nilk performance fee
Analysis results	for Aflatoxin B1 in	detail		
Feed	Result	Result		Result
Feed Material	o-10 μg/kg	> 10-20 μ	g/kg	> 20 μg/kg
Of the 2,616 analys for which a value w detected, the result were as follows	as 2,488 between	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		9 over 20 μg/kg
Compound Feed o-5 µg/kg		> 5-10 µg	g/kg	> 10 μg/kg
Of the 600 analysis for which a value w detected, the result	as 590 between	,	-	1 over 10 µg/kg

Parameter Number of analysis A3,784 Of the 43,784 analysis, a value was detected in 21,961 (50.2 %) 20 Self-mixed feed for fattening pigs/sows/piglets 12 Complete feed for sows 16 Complete feed for fattening pigs 5 Piglet rearing feed 8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants) 1 Wheat	Deuxymvatemot (DON)				
Of the 43,784 analysis, a value was detected in 21,961 (50.2 %) 20 Self-mixed feed for fattening pigs/sows/piglets 12 Complete feed for sows 16 Complete feed for fattening pigs 5 Piglet rearing feed 8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)	Parameter		exceedances		
Self-mixed feed for fattening pigs/sows/piglets 12 Complete feed for sows 16 Complete feed for fattening pigs 5 Piglet rearing feed 8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)		Of the 43,784 analysis, a value was detected in 21,961	71 in total		
16 Complete feed for fattening pigs 5 Piglet rearing feed 8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/ fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)		(50.2 %)	20		
fattening pigs 5 Piglet rearing feed 8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/ fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)			12	Complete feed for sows	
8 Supplementary feed for sows/piglets/ fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)			16		
sows/piglets/ fattening pigs 6 Maize (plants)			5	Piglet rearing feed	
	4		8	sows/piglets/	
1 Wheat		7	6	Maize (plants)	
		1	1	Wheat	
2 Oats			2	Oats	
1 Maize gluten			1	Maize gluten	
Analysis results for DON in detail	Analysis results	for DON in detail			

Feed	Result	Result	Result
Feed Material Of the 13,908 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	o-5 mg/kg 13,723 between o and 5 mg/kg	> 5-8 mg/kg 113 between 5 and 8 mg/kg	> 8 mg/kg 72 over 8 mg/kg
Compound Feed Of the 8,053 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	o-o.9 mg/kg 7,825 between o and o.9 mg/kg	> 0.9 mg/kg 228 over 0.9 mg/kg	

Dioxins, dioxin-like PCBs (dl PCB) and non-dioxin-like PCBs (ndl PCB)

Parameter	Number of analysis	No. of exceedances (max. level)	No. of exceedances (guidance value/ action threshold)	Feed/ raw material
Dioxins and dl PCB	66,279	12 in total	8 in total	
Dioxins	Of the 28,197 analysis, a value was detected in 24,533 (87.0 %)	1	1	(Sugar) beet molasses chips, (sugar) beet small pieces
		2	1	Fatty acids from the chemical refining (refinery fatty acids)
		2	-	Fruit marc
		/v.	1	Fatty acid salts
		-	1	By-products of the milk- processing industry
		2	-	Fish oil
		1	_	Supplementary feed for all species
		-	1	Mineral supplementary feed for cattle
		-	1	Calcareous marine algae
dl PCB	Of the 25,666 analysis, a value was detected in	-	1	(Sugar) beet molasses chips
	21,508 (83.8 %)		1	Walnut expeller
Total dioxins and dl PCB	Of the 12,416 analysis, a value was was detected in 10,607 (85.4 %)	1	-	Fatty acids from the chemical refining (refinery fatty acids)
		1	-	Shrimps
		1	-	Fish oil
		1	-	Fruit marc
ndl PCB	20,913	1 in total		
	Of the 20,913 analysis, a value was detected in	1	-	Compound fatty acids

Analysis results for dioxins, dioxin-like PCBs and

Parameter	Result	Result	Result
Dioxins Of the 24,533 analysis	o-o.25 ng/kg	> 0.25-0.5 ng/kg	> o.5 ng/kg
for which a value was	22,823 between	1,340 between	370 over
detected, the results were as follows	o and o.25 ng/kg	o.25 and o.5 ng/kg	o.5 ng/kg
dl PCB Of the 21,508 analysis	o-o.25 ng/kg	> 0.2-0.35 ng/kg	> 0.35 ng/kg
for which a value was	20,529 between	463 between	516 over
detected, the results were as follows	o and o.2 ng/kg	o.2 and o.35 ng/kg	o.35 ng/kg
Total Dioxins + dl PCB	o-o.5 ng/kg	> 0.5-1.0 ng/kg	> 1.0 ng/kg
Of the 10,607 analysis	9,879 between	358 between	370 over
for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	o and o.5 ng/kg	o.5 and 1.0 ng/kg	1.0 ng/kg
ndl PCB Of the 12,275 analysis	o-5 μg/kg	> 5-10 µg/kg	> 10 µg/kg
for which a value was	11,450 between	438 between	387 over
detected, the results were as follows	o and 5 μg/kg	5 and 10 μg/kg	10 μg/kg

> Salmonella

Parameter	Total number of analysis	No. of positive findings	Feed/ raw material
Salmonella	76,123	81 in total	
	Or of the =C rea	12	Pig feed
Sã	81 of the 76,123 samples tested	13	Rapeseed meal, cake
	positive (o.1 %)	15	Soya (bean) cake, peel, meal
		9	Dairy cattle, cattle feed
		5	Sunflower seed, cake, meal
		9	Poultry feed
		5	Cocoa shells
		13	Various feed materials

Heavy metals

Parameter	Number of analysis	Number of exceedances (max. level)	Feed/ raw material
Heavy metals	169,883	20 in total	
Arsenic	Of 41,744 analysis, a value	1	Supplementary feed for pigs
	was detected in 13,661 (32.7 %)	1	Supplementary feed for fattening pigs
		1	Shrimps
		1	Yeast
Lead	Of 43,227 analysis, a value was	1	Complete feed for fattening pigs (up to 50 kg)
dete	detected in 19,573 (45.3 %)	2	Calcium carbonate
	(13.3	1	Yeast
		1	Compunds of trace elements
Cadmium	Of 43,064 analysis,	1	Cocoa shells
	a value was detected in 27,604 (64.1 %)	1	Growing crops on permanent grassland (fresh, silaged or dried)
		1	Shrimps
		1	Supplementary feed for pigs
		1	Supplementary feed for all species
		1	Supplementary feed for dairy cattle
Mercury	Of 41,848 analysis,	3	Yeast
	a value was detected in 3,653 (8.7 %)	1	Supplementary feed for pig
		1	Emulsifiers

Analysis results for heavy metals in detail

0.0	Parameter	Result	Result
77 ASST 1457 ASS	Arsenic Of the 13,661 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	0-1 mg/kg 10,820 between 0 and 1 mg/kg	> 1 mg/kg 2,841 over 1 mg/kg
11.2 CANTER E1	Lead Of the 19,573 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	o-5 mg/kg 18,780 between o and 5 mg/kg	> 5 mg/kg 793 over 5 mg/kg
11 SAV 01 11	Cadmium Of the 27,604 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	0-1 mg/kg 27,080 between 0 and 1 mg/kg	> 1 mg/kg 524 over 1 mg/kg
A ST SENSON AND	Mercury Of the 3,653 analysis for which a value was detected, the results were as follows	o-o.o5 mg/kg 3,327 between o and o.o5 mg/kg	> 0.05 mg/kg 326 over 0.05 mg/kg

Imprint

Editor: QS Qualität und Sicherheit GmbH · Dr. Hermann-Josef Nienhoff, Managing Director · Schedestraße 1-3 · 53113 Bonn, Germany · Phone +49 (o) 228 350 68-0 · Fax +49 (o) 228 350 68-10 E-Mail: info@q-s.de · www.q-s.de Pictures: Shutterstock Status: July 2017